Investigation of the solid state reaction of $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ with 1,10-phenanthroline \dagger

Lixu Lei,^a Su Jing,[‡] Richard I. Walton,^{§a} Xinquan Xin^b and Dermot O'Hare *^a

^a Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK OX1 3QR. E-mail: dermot.ohare@chem.ox.ac.uk

^b State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China. E-mail: xxin@netra.nju.edu.cn

Received 11th June 2002, Accepted 9th July 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 19th August 2002

Solid-state reaction of FeSO₄·7H₂O and 1,10-phenanthroline in a 1 : 1 molar ratio was investigated by time-resolved *in-situ* energy dispersive X-ray diffraction (EDXRD), DSC, TG/DTA, Mössbauer and IR spectroscopy. The reaction of these solids takes place rapidly at around 70 °C and initially produces an intermediate crystalline phase, Fe(phen)₃SO₄· 5H₂O before cleanly converting to Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄. This is in contrast to the product of the reaction of FeSO₄· 7H₂O with 1,10-phenanthroline in aqueous solution which produces [Fe(phen)₃²⁺][SO₄²⁻]. All the spectral data suggest that the final product is a neutral Fe(II) complex. The coordination sphere around the Fe(II) ions is thought to be octahedral consisting of a unidentate sulfate ligand, a bidentate 1,10-phenanthroline ligand and three aquo ligands.

Introduction

Solid–solid reactions that take place at low temperature can offer the potential for preparing phases that cannot be prepared in solution.¹⁻⁶ For example, Toda's group have reported that organic solid state reactions could produce products very efficiently, even stereoselectively;⁶⁻⁸ Mallouk's group have addressed the importance of turning down the heat to produce kinetic products;^{5,9,10} Xin's group has reported many new structures prepared by using solid-state reactions at temperatures from room temperature up to around 100 °C.²⁻⁴

Some of us have previously studied the solid-state reaction between transition metal salts and a variety of ligands.^{11–18} In some cases it was shown that coordination of the ligands takes place in a stepwise manner with sequential substitution of coordinated water in hydrated salts. This could be used to produce complexes that cannot easily be obtained in solution phase.^{12,16–18} We also showed that the water molecules present in the hydrated salts have a significant effect on the rates of these reactions.^{3,4}

Reaction of iron(II) ion and phenanthroline in aqueous solution produces the stable deep blood-red complex cation, $[Fe(phen)_3]^{2+}$. $[Fe(phen)_3]^{2+}$ has a low spin $(t_{2g})^6$ configuration, which has the greatest crystal field stabilisation energy compared to the possible high spin $(t_{2g})^4(e_g)^2$ species, such as $[Fe(phen)(H_2O)_4]^{2+}$ and $[Fe(phen)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$. In order to obtain complexes with interesting electronic properties, such as those that exhibit spin crossover phenomena, one has to devise means of synthesis other than the conventional solvent-mediated routes, such as pyrolysis or leaching the ligands in organic solvents.¹⁹ We have found it is possible to synthesise metal complexes conveniently by mixing stoichiometric amounts of starting materials in the solid state at low temperatures (less than 100 °C).¹²

The solid-state reaction between $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and *o*-phenanthroline is different from some of the previously studied reactions. Unusually, crystalline $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ contains water in which six molecules act as donor ligands while the remaining water molecule is present as occluded water of crystallisation.

Results and discussion

When solid FeSO₄·7H₂O and 1,10-phenanthroline are ground together in a 1:1 molar ratio in a pestle and mortar and heated to over 70 °C ligand substitution reactions take place to give a new red crystalline phase in high purity. Fig. 1 shows the X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the red solid product, together with the XRD patterns of the starting materials and Fe(phen)₃- $SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$. Fe(phen)₃SO₄ $\cdot 5H_2O$ is the sole product that crystallises if this reaction is carried out in aqueous solution. The diffractogram of the final red product contains no Bragg reflections assignable to either of the starting materials or to Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O. All the Bragg reflections in the XRD pattern shown in Fig. 1c can be indexed on a monoclinic cell $(a = 13.707, b = 10.075, c = 11.914 \text{ Å}, \beta = 111.6^{\circ})$. The systematic absences are consistent with the space group $P2_1/a$. Assuming a formulation of Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄ (vide infra) and Z = 4 the unit cell volume gives a calculated density of 1.68 g cm⁻³. We have tried to determine the structure from the powder diffraction data using direct methods. Unfortunately, we cannot measure the intensity of sufficient unique reflections for a structure solution. However, we are still investigating real-space/ Monte-Carlo structure solution methods.

⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy is a very sensitive probe of the electronic structure of iron-containing compounds.²⁰⁻²² The room temperature ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of the final red product is shown in Fig. 2. The spectrum exhibits mainly a doublet with an isomer shift of 1.16 mm s⁻¹ with a quadruple splitting of 2.84 mm s⁻¹ in addition to a low intensity singlet at 0.51 mm s⁻¹. The singlet is indicative of the presence of a small amount of an unknown Fe(III) impurity, which may come from either impurities in the starting material, or aerial oxidation during the reaction course. The major doublet resonance is typical of a high spin iron(II) complex, which exhibits parameters between FeSO₄·7H₂O ($\Delta = 3.22$, $\delta = 1.26$)²³ and high spin iron

DOI: 10.1039/b205625a

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 3477–3481 3477

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: powder XRD data for Fe(phen)SO₃·3H₂O. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b205625a/

[‡]Current address: Department of Applied Chemistry, Nanjing University of Technology, Nanjing 210009, China.

[§] Current address: School of Chemistry, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter, UK EX4 4QD.



Fig. 1 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) 1,10-phenanthroline; (b) $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$; (c) $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$; (d) $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$.



Fig. 2 Room temperature 57 Fe Mössbauer spectrum of Fe(phen)-(H₂O)₃SO₄.

1,10-phenanthroline complexes ($\Delta = 2.1 \sim 3.0$, $\delta = \sim 1.0$).^{24–28} The Mössbauer parameters are also significantly different from the values obtained for [Fe(phen)₃]²⁺ complexes ($\Delta = 0.15-0.58$, $\delta = 0.18-0.3$).^{24,25,28,29}

The infrared spectrum in the region below 1300 cm⁻¹ is particularly useful in probing the coordination of the sulfate ions. Free pyramidal sulfate anions exhibit only v_3 and v_4 infrared active vibrations, while coordinated sulfate ions show all four fundamental vibrations.³⁰ Fig. 3a shows the spectrum of final product, Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄, which exhibits all the four normal vibrations of coordinated SO₄²⁻ at 986 (v_1), 472 (v_2), 1042–1173 (v_3 , split) and 618 cm⁻¹ (v_4), which is consistent with a unidentate sulfate ligation. In contrast, the spectrum of Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O (Fig. 3b) shows that there are absorptions only at 1114 (v_3) and 616 cm⁻¹ (v_4), which is consistent with the fact that the sulfate ions are not coordinated to the metal centre.

There are two more bands at 808 and 640 cm⁻¹ compared to that of Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O, which can be assigned to coordinated water molecules, because they have IR bands at around 800 (ρ_r) and 600 cm⁻¹ (ρ_w) in addition to other common bands.³⁰

The TG/DTA data show that the final red product does not lose weight up to 100 $^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 4). We then observe a one-step 12.6% weight loss between 100 and 180 $^{\circ}$ C caused by loss of the three water molecules (calculated value is 14.0%), which is



Fig. 3 Infrared spectra of: (a) $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$ and (b) $Fe(phen)_3-SO_4\cdot 5H_2O$.



Fig. 4 TG/DTA data for Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄.

supported by the thermal analysis of a similar compound, $[Ni(phen)(H_2O)_2(C_6H_5O_4)]^{31}$ further weight loss is caused by decomposition of the compound and combustion of the phenanthroline ligand above 300 °C, which is considerably higher than compared with the bis- or tris-phenanthroline complexes, which occur at 160~400 °C.^{32–35} The total weight loss found (78.1%) is in accordance with the calculated value (79.2%, Fe₂O₃ as the final product).

Taking all the data into consideration we believe that the final red product of the reaction is best formulated as Fe(phen)- $(H_2O)_3SO_4$. All spectroscopic data suggest that this compound is a neutral molecule containing an octahedrally coordinated Fe(II) ions, in which the SO_4^{2-} anion acts as a unidentate ligand, and three water molecules and one 1,10-phenanthroline molecule occupy the other five coordination sites.

Mechanistic studies

We were interested in investigating the mechanism of this solid-state reaction, particularly as $[Fe(phen)_3]^{2+}[SO_4^{2-}]$ is the exclusive product in aqueous solution due to the high CFSE of the low spin $(t_{2g})^6$ Fe(II) configuration. The time-resolved, in-situ energy-dispersive X-ray diffraction (EDXRD) technique provides us with a powerful means to investigate solid-state reactions, since data can be obtained in short periods from large quantities (grams) of reacting solids in laboratory-sized reaction vessels. Fig. 5a shows a three-dimensional plot of the *in-situ* EDXRD data measured during the reaction at 70 °C. The reaction appears to begin immediately on mixing the solid reagents at room temperature, as evidenced by a colour change from pale green to red and indeed the time-resolved, in situ diffraction data shows no characteristic Bragg reflections of the crystalline starting materials (it should be noted that it takes ~2 minutes between mixing the reagents and commencing EDXRD data collection). At 70 °C the in-situ EDXRD data clearly show that the crystallisation is complete after 10 minutes. All the observed Bragg reflections in the final EDXRD spectrum can be indexed



Fig. 5 (a) *In-situ* EDXRD patterns recorded during the reaction of $FeSO_4$ ·7H₂O and 1,10-phenanthroline in the ratio 1 : 1 at 70 °C. (b) EDXRD spectrum taken after 4.5 minutes, and then after 22.5 minutes.

on the unit cell parameters of Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄. However, at the early stages of the reaction the EDXRD spectra show a series of Bragg reflections of a transient intermediate crystalline phase. Fig. 5b shows the EDXRD spectrum after 4.5 minutes, which is the point at which the integrated intensity of the Bragg reflections of the intermediate phase reaches their maximum, and after 22.5 minutes after which the EDXRD patterns show no further change. All of these diffraction features appear simultaneously and grow and decay at the same time, suggesting that they are all due to a single phase. The Bragg reflections of the intermediate may be indexed using the unit cell parameters of Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O, in addition the spectrum contains a few low intensity Bragg reflections that may be assigned to the final product. It should be noted that the relative intensities of the Bragg reflections in the EDXRD data and the ex-situ angular-dispersive measurements do not match, since the former data are affected by the energy profile of the incident white beam X-rays, absorption and the latter by preferred orientation effects.

In order to confirm that $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ is in fact a precursor to $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$, the reaction between the former compound and $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ was investigated. 2 mmol of $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ was mixed with 1 mmol of $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, finely ground and heated at 70 °C for 2 hours. Powder X-ray diffraction data (Fig. 6) show that $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$ is the final product.

The *in-situ* EDXRD experiments were also performed at 50, 60 and 80 °C. Fig. 7 shows the data obtained by integrating the relevant Bragg reflections belonging to crystalline Fe(phen)₃-SO₄·5H₂O and Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄ respectively. At 50 °C it can be seen that Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O crystallisation is complete after one hour, but the second step of crystallisation of Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄ has not yet commenced. However, as the reaction temperature is increased, both steps are completed after 40 minutes at 60 °C, 10 minutes at 70 °C and 6 minutes at



Fig. 6 Powder XRD patterns for: (a) a mixture of $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ in a 2 : 1 molar ratio at rt and (b) after heating at 70 °C for 2 hours.

80 °C respectively, the intermediate $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ is present for shorter and shorter times as the temperature is raised.

DSC measurements have also been recorded on this solidsolid reaction, in order to provide complementary data about the course of the reaction. Fig. 8a shows the DSC data when finely ground FeSO₄·7H₂O with 1,10-phenanthroline are heated in a 1 : 1 molar ratio. The reaction exhibits two heat flows between 50 and 59 °C, followed by a sharp endothermic peak at 63.5 °C. There are no other thermal processes observed on further heating or cooling. Since FeSO₄·7H₂O loses water at around 68 °C (Fig. 8b), we believe that the endothermic peak is due to dehydration and the two exothermic features from the two successive reactions forming Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O and Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄ respectively. The DSC data shows no other thermal events after the endothermic process: this is also in accordance with the TG/DTA data (Fig. 4).

An interesting point is why does $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ crystallise first rather than $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$ as might be expected from a stepwise reaction? $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ may be the kinetic product as the Fe(II) centre in $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ is coordinated by only aquo ligands and these may be more readily displaced in the solid state by other neutral *o*-phenanthroline ligands. Other reaction pathways would require significant anion mobility.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In fact, this trend has already been employed to prepare some $Fe(phen)_2X_2$ complexes.^{32,34,36} For example, significantly higher temperatures are required for the decomposition of $Fe(phen)_3X_2$ (X = Cl, Br) complexes.

Conclusions

Solid $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and 1,10-phenanthroline react rapidly in the solid-state to produce crystalline $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$. The spectroscopic data suggest that $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$ is an octahedral Fe(II) complex containing a unidentate sulfate, and the other five coordination sites are taken by a bidentate 1,10phenanthroline ligand and three water molecules.

The *in situ* EDXRD data and DSC studies highlight the rapidity of the reaction, and show that $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$ is produced after crystallisation of $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$. Surprisingly, $Fe(phen)(H_2O)_3SO_4$ appears to be the thermodynamically favoured phase in the solid state while $Fe(phen)_3SO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ is the thermodynamic product in solution.

Experimental

Synthesis

Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄. FeSO₄·7H₂O and 1,10-phenanthroline, were purchased from Aldrich and used after being ground and passed through a 120 μ m sieve. 1 mmol each of the starting materials were weighed accurately and mixed thoroughly in a



Fig. 7 Time dependence of the integrated intensity of the 001 Bragg reflection of Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O (\bigcirc) and Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄ (\triangle) at 50, 60, 70 and 80 °C.



Fig. 8 DSC curves obtained for: (a) 1 : 1 mixture of finely ground solid $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and 1,10-phenanthroline and (b) solid $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$.

mortar with a pestle. The mixture was transferred into a tube with a cover, and placed in an oven maintained at a set temperature until the reaction was verified to be complete by powder X-ray diffraction measurements on small samples of the reaction mixture. The palely coloured starting materials (green FeSO₄·7H₂O and colourless 1,10-phenanthroline) were observed to form a red crystalline product. Although the reaction took place at room temperature, temperatures of 60–80 °C were necessary for the reactions to reach completion. Microanalysis was performed to estimate the water content in the compound. Found(calculated) for Fe(phen)(H₂O)₃SO₄ were: Fe: 14.18(14.46); C: 37.23(37.32); H: 3.62(3.65); N: 7.21(7.25)%.

Fe(phen)₃**SO**₄**·**5**H**₂**O**. Was synthesised both in the solid state and in solution. The solid-state synthesis was similar to the synthesis of Fe(phen)SO₄**·**3H₂O, but a molar ratio of 1:3 was

used for the salt to ligand. The solution method was performed as follows: 10 mmol of $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ were dissolved in 5 ml of deionised water, and 30 mmol of 1,10-phenanthroline were added to the solution. The solution was then stirred on a hot plate stirrer maintained at 70 °C until all the phenanthroline dissolved. To this was added 10 ml of acetone and the precipitate formed was filtered and washed with acetone and dried in air. The XRD patterns and the microanalysis showed that the products from both methods were the same and in accordance with Fe(phen)₃SO₄·5H₂O. Found(calculated): C: 55.57(55.25); H: 4.56(4.38); N: 10.83(10.74); Fe: 7.13(7.14); S: 4.14(4.10) for the solid method; C: 55.58(55.25); H: 4.57(4.38); N: 11.10(10.74); Fe: 6.76(7.14); S: 4.24(4.10)% for the solution method.

Characterisation

Powder X-ray diffraction data were measured using a Philips PW1710 diffractometer using Cu-K α radiation (K $_{\alpha 1}$ = 1.5406 Å and $K_{a2} = 1.5444$ Å) in Bragg–Bretano geometry. Materials were finely ground and pressed into a flat aluminium sample holder. Diffraction data were recorded over the angular range $2\theta = 5-70^{\circ}$ with a step of 0.02°. A ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of the powdered sample of Fe(phen)SO₄·3H₂O was recorded in transmission geometry using a ca. 50 mCi ⁵⁷Co/Rh source with a multiscaler of 512 channels in constant acceleration mode at the Open University, UK. All the isomer shift data are quoted relative to the centroid of the metallic iron spectrum at room temperature. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed using a STA-1500H thermal analyser in a static air, over the temperature range 25 to 1000 °C and with a heating ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet FTIR-170sx spectrometer in the range 400-4000 cm⁻¹, from samples pressed into KBr discs. Elemental analysis was performed by the analytical services at the Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, Oxford. A Sataram micro-DSC was used to observe in situ DSC curves with sealed cells, Al2O3 as reference. The reaction mixture in a sealed cell of 1 cm³ heated at 1 °C min⁻¹ to 100 °C then back (the calorimeter can only be operated between 0 and 100 °C). The data were collected every 30 seconds. 0.5 mmol each of FeSO₄·7H₂O and 1,10-phenanthroline were used. In-situ energy-dispersive X-ray diffraction experiments were performed on the Station 16.4 of the SRS at the Daresbury Laboratory, UK. Station 16.4 receives X-ray continuous in the energy range 10-120 keV, and is designed for experiments that require white-beam X-rays. In the energy-dispersive X-ray diffraction experiment the diffracted X-ray beam is measured by a fixed-angle solid-state germanium detector; this allows rapid data accumulation (of the order of seconds), and for data to be collected from large volumes of sample.37,38 The station is equipped with a heating apparatus so that data may be collected from reacting materials at elevated temperature.³⁹ In the current work, mixtures of 1 mmol each of FeSO4.7H2O and 1,10-phenanthroline were finely ground and placed in a Pyrex tube with 1 mm thick walls. Temperatures of around 70 °C were chosen for the reactions studied here: this meant that reactions reached completion in a reasonable amount of time, but that there was sufficient time to record representative spectra at each stage of reaction. Spectra were recorded every 30 seconds.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor F. J. Berry at The Open University, UK, who measured the Mössbauer spectrum of the iron compound, the National Natural Science Foundation of China for financial support, and the EPSRC for provision of synchrotron beam time at Daresbury Laboratory, UK. L. L. also thanks the Royal Society for the award of an FCO Chevening Fellowship.

References

- 1 D. Long, B. Liang and X. Xin, Yingyong Huaxue, 1996, 13, 1-6.
- 2 C. Zhang, G.-C. Jin and X.-Q. Xin, *Wuji Huaxue Xuebao*, 2000, 16, 229–240.
- 3 Y.-M. Zhou and X.-Q. Xin, Wuji Huaxue Xuebao, 1999, 15, 273-292.
- 4 L. Lei and X. Xin, Huaxue Tongbao, 1997, 1-7.
- 5 A. Stein, S. W. Keller and T. E. Mallouk, *Science (Washington D. C.)*, 1993, **259**, 1558–1564.
- 6 F. Toda, Acc. Chem. Res., 1995, 28, 480-486.
- 7 F. Toda, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2000, 1377-1386.
- 8 F. Toda, Compr. Supramol. Chem., 1996, 6, 465-516.
- 9 R. E. Schaak and T. E. Mallouk, *Abstr. Pap. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **221st**, INOR-175.
- 10 T. E. Mallouk, S. W. Keller, C. M. Bell, H.-G. Hong, H.-N. Kim, Y. Kim, II, D. M. Kaschak, D. L. Feldheim, P. J. Ollivier and S. A. Johnson, *Proc. Robert A. Welch Found. Conf. Chem. Res.*, 1995, **39th**, 123–137.
- 11 T. Chen, B. Liang and X. Xin, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 1997, 58, 951-955.

- 12 B. Liang, Q. Dai and X. Xin, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem., 1998, 28, 165–173.
- 13 X. Yao, L. Zheng and X. Xin, J. Solid State Chem., 1995, 117, 333–336.
- 14 X. R. Ye, D. Z. Jia, J. Q. Yu, X. Q. Xin and Z. Xue, *Adv. Mater.* (*Weinheim, Ger.*), 1999, **11**, 941–942.
- 15 H.-G. Zheng, D.-X. Zeng, X.-Q. Xin and W.-T. Wong, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 3499–3503.
- 16 L. Lei and X. Xin, Thermochim. Acta, 1996, 273, 61-67.
- 17 L. Lei and X. Xin, J. Solid State Chem., 1995, 119, 299-303.
- 18 L. Lei, Z. Wang and X. Xin, Thermochim. Acta, 1997, 297, 193-197.
- 19 E. Koenig, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1968, **3**, 471–495. 20 F. J. Berry, *Phys. Bull.*, 1983, **34**, 517–519.
- 21 Mössbauer Spectroscopy Applied to Inorganic Chemistry, G. Long, ed., 1984, vol. 1, p. 667.
- 22 F. J. Berry, M. H. B. Hayes and S. L. Jones, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1990, **178**, 203–208.
- 23 R. W. Grant, H. Wiedersich, A. H. Muir, Jr., U. Gonser and W. N. Delgass, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 45, 1015–1019.
- 24 G. J. Long, Mössbauer Spectrosc., 1986, 70-142, 264-146.
- 25 C. B. Bargeron and H. G. Drickamer, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 54, 2288–2289.
- 26 S. Decurtins, P. Guetlich, C. P. Koehler and H. Spiering, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 430–432.
- 27 D. C. Figg, R. H. Herber and J. A. Potenza, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 2111–2117.
- 28 S. K. Kulshreshtha and B. Maiti, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **134**, 131–133.
- 29 M. S. Sastry and S. K. Kulshreshtha, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. A*, 1990, 29, 914–915.
- 30 K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Part A: Theory and Applications in Inorganic Chemistry, 5th edn., John Wiley, London, 1997, p. 387.
- 31 J. Lin, Y.-Q. Zheng, Z.-P. Kon and H.-L. Zhang, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2001, 627, 1066–1070.
- 32 S. Brini, R. Bucci, V. Carunchio and A. D. Magri, J. Therm. Anal., 1990, 36, 707–720.
- 33 L. Ancarani Rossiello, L. Cicconetti, F. Giannetta and A. La Ginestra, J. Therm. Anal., 1975, 7, 81–94.
- 34 J. Chomic, J. Cernak and J. Grigl'akova, J. Therm. Anal., 1988, 33, 661–665.
- 35 S. Yamasaki, T. Fujiwara and Y. Yamamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1987, 60, 2565–2571.
- 36 N. Dragoe, M. Andruh and E. Segal, *Thermochim. Acta*, 1991, **176**, 241–248.
- 37 S. M. Clark, R. J. Cernik, A. Grant, S. York, P. A. Atkinson, A. Gallagher, D. G. Stokes, S. R. Gregory, N. Harris, W. Smith, M. Hancock, M. C. Miller, K. Ackroyd, R. Farrow, R. Frances and D. O'Hare, *Mater. Sci. Forum*, 1996, **228–231**, 213–217.
- 38 S. M. Clark, J. S. O. Evans, D. O'Hare, C. J. Nuttall and H. V. Wong, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 809–810.
- 39 J. S. O. Evans, R. J. Francis, D. O'Hare, S. J. Price, S. M. Clark, J. Flaherty, J. Gordon, A. Nield and C. C. Tang, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 1995, 66, 2442–2445.